

Workshop, Lund University, April 17-18, 2024, Rättegångssalen

# Normative Assessment of the ECJ Reasoning

Wednesday 17 April

**13.00-14.30, Panel 1:**

Chair: Xavier Groussot

Takis Tridimas, Teleology, Imaginative Reconstruction and Effectiveness

Joxerramon Bengoetxea, Quality of the Judgments of the ECJ: Coherence v Efficiency

Dorota Leczykiewicz, The CJEU's Relationship with Law: Challenging the Interpretation Framework

Discussant: Gian Marco Galletti

**15.00-16.30, Panel 2:**

Chair: Luigi Lonardo

Sara Iglesias Sanchez, Legal Reasoning in Citizenship Cases: Activism, Minimalism and Self-restraint

Niamh Nic Shuibhne, Between Precedent and Reasoning: How does the Court deal with its own Previous Case law in the Field of Union Citizenship?

Alezini Loxa, Insiders, Outsiders and the Fictitious Limits of Analogous Interpretation in the ECJ Case Law

Araceli Turmo, A Critical Examination of the ECJ's Use of Previous Judgments in Legal Reasoning

Discussant: Nathan Cambien

**17.00-18.30 Panel 3:**

Chair: Marja-Liisa Öberg

Emily Hancox, The Case for Lex Specialis and Lex Posterior as Guiding Principles of Interpretation

Luigi Lonardo, Rosneft had Already Spilled the Oil: Legal Reasoning in Common Foreign and Security Policy

Alexandros Politis, The ECJ's "Secondary Legislator Approach" in Interpreting Primary Law

Discussant: Panos Koutrakos

Thursday 18 April

**9.00-10.30, Panel 3:**

Chair: Alezini Loxa

Davor Petrić, Values and the Interpretation of EU Law

Luke Spieker, Substantive Hierarchies in EU Primary Law: Towards an Interpretation in Light of Article 2 TEU?

Susi Forderer, The Court's Legal Reasoning on the Principle of EU Member State Equality

Discussant: Anna Zemsikova

**11.00-12.30, Panel 4:**

Chair: Luigi Lonardo

Annegret Engel: The CJEU's Centre of Gravity Theory in Legal Basis Litigation: A Flawed Concept

Nathan Cambien: The Reasoning of the Court of Justice of the European Union under Institutional Constraints: the Example of EU Competition Law

Menelaos Markakis: The Reasoning of the Court in the EMU

Discussant: Graham Butler